3	ALLEN RUBY (SBN 47109) RAOUL D. KENNEDY (SBN 40892) SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LESSE University Avenue, Suite 1400 Palo Alto, CA 94301 Telephone: (650) 470-4500 Facsimile: (650) 470-4570 allen.ruby@skadden.com raoul.kennedy@skadden.com  Attorneys for Plaintiff/Defendant INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.  UNITED STATES DIST NORTHERN DISTRICT CONSTRUCTION	TRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA
11	ILLINOIS UNION INSURANCE COMPANY, an	) CASE NO.: 3:13-CV-04863-JST
12	Illinois corporation,	) JOINT STIPULATION RE: <i>BRANDT</i>
13	Plaintiff,	FEES ALLOCATION AND AWARD;
14	V.	) <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER.
15	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Complaint Filed: October 21, 2013
16	Defendant.	Judge: Honorable Jon S. Tigar Trial Date: June 19, 2017
17	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,	) CASE NO. 3:15-ev-04834-JST
18	Plaintiff,	Complaint Filed: October 20, 2015
19	V.	) )
20 21	ILLINOIS UNION INSURANCE COMPANY, an Illinois Corporation; NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY	) ) )
22	INSURANCE CO., a New York Corporation,	) )
23	Defendants.	) )
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

JOINT STIPULATION RE: BRANDT FEES ALLOCATION AND AWARD

CASE NO. 3:13-CV-04863-JST

- 1				
1	Intuitive Surgical, Inc. ("Intuitive") and Illinois Union Insurance Company ("Illinois Union")			
2	jointly stipulate, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, and 7-12, that, (1) in the event Intuitive			
3	prevails on its bad faith claim, the Court will determine the amount of attorney fees to which			
4	Intuitive is entitled under Brandt v. Superior Court ("Brandt"), 37 Cal. 3d 813 (1985),			
5	(2) documents related to <i>Brandt</i> fees shall be produced after trial, and (3) the parties will jointly			
6	propose deadlines relating to any allocation and award of <i>Brandt</i> fees after trial in this action			
7	concludes.			
8	WHEREAS, in <i>Brandt</i> the California Supreme Court held that "when an insurance company			
9	withholds policy benefits in bad faith, attorney fees reasonably incurred to compel payment of the			
10	benefits are recoverable as an element of the plaintiff's damages." Nickerson v. Stonebridge Life			
11	Ins. Co., 63 Cal. 4th 363, 373 (2016) (citing Brandt, 37 Cal. 3d at 819);			
12	WHEREAS, in <i>Brandt</i> , the California Supreme Court went on to hold:			
13	blice the attorney 5 lees are recoverable as damages, the			
14	allocation and award by the trial court would normally be preferable since the determination then would be made after completion of the			
15				
16	legal services, and proof that otherwise would have been presented to the jury could be simplified because of the court's expertise in			
17	evaluating legal services.			
18	Id. at 819-20 (internal citations omitted); see also Nickerson, 63 Cal. 4th at 373 ("Consistent with			
19	that suggestion the trial court in this case accepted the parties' pretrial stipulation that if [plaintiff]			
20	were to succeed on his bad faith claim against [defendant], the court would determine the amount of			
21	attorney fees to which [plaintiff] was entitled under Brandt.");			
22	WHEREAS, Intuitive is seeking <i>Brandt</i> fees in connection with its implied covenant of good			
23	faith and fair dealing claim against Illinois Union;			
24	WHEREAS, the deadline for all fact discovery was initially set for November 10, 2016 (Dkt.			
25	186); and			
26	WHEREAS, the parties then stipulated, and the Court subsequently ordered, that to the extent			
27	Intuitive produces any evidence supporting <i>Brandt</i> fees, including legal bills, invoices, or receipts,			
28	such evidence need not be produced until May 19, 2017 (30 days before trial) (Dkts. 197, 198).			
ı	1			

NOW THEREFORE, the parties, through the undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate that:

- in the event that Intuitive succeeds on its bad faith claim at trial, the Court will determine a postjudgment allocation and award of recoverable fees to which Intuitive is entitled under *Brandt*;
- within 20 days after a verdict in the jury trial in this action, the parties will (1) meet and confer, and (2) jointly propose to the Court expert disclosure deadlines and a briefing schedule relating to the *Brandt* fee issue; and
- the May 19, 2017 deadline for the production of evidence supporting *Brandt* fees is extended until 30 days after a verdict in the jury trial in this action.

The parties' proposed time modifications would impact the deadlines in Case No. 3:13-cv-04863-JST as follows:

Event	Current Schedule	New Schedule
Parties to Jointly Propose to the Court Expert Disclosure Deadlines and a Briefing Schedule Relating to <i>Brandt</i> Fees	N/A	20 days after a verdict in the jury trial
Deadline to Produce Evidence Supporting Brandt Fees	5/19/2017	30 days after a verdict in the jury trial

DATED: May 5, 2017

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

By: /s/ Allen Ruby

Attorneys for Plaintiff
INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.

DATED: May 5, 2017

COZEN O'CONNER

By: /s/ Charlie Wheeler

Attorneys for Defendant
ILLINOIS UNION INSURANCE COMPANY

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(1), the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories above.

/s/ Allen Ruby

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

## PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- (1) In the event that Intuitive succeeds on its bad faith claim at trial, the Court will determine a postjudgment allocation and award of recoverable fees to which Intuitive is entitled under *Brandt v. Superior Court* ("Brandt"), 37 Cal. 3d 813 (1985);
- (2) The parties will meet and confer within 20 days after a verdict in the jury trial in this action and jointly propose to the Court expert disclosure deadlines and a briefing schedule relating to the *Brandt* fee issue; and
- (3) The May 19, 2017 deadline for the production of evidence supporting *Brandt* fees is extended until 30 days after a verdict in the jury trial in this action.

The prior deadlines in Case No. 3:13-cv-04863-JST are amended as follows:

Event	Current Schedule	New Schedule
Parties to Jointly Propose to the Court Expert Disclosure Deadlines and a Briefing Schedule Relating to <i>Brandt</i> Fees	N/A	20 days after a verdict in the jury trial
Deadline to Produce Evidence Supporting Brandt Fees	5/19/2017	30 days after a verdict in the jury trial

DATED: May \_21\_\_, 2017

By:

The Honorable Jon O Tigar
United States District Court Judge